WEST BEACH CONDOMINIUM, a Washington non-profit corporation,

Plaintiff,

v.

COMMONWEALTH INSURANCE COMPANY OF AMERICA, a foreign insurance company; NORTHBRIDGE GENERAL INSURANCE CORPORATION f/k/a COMMONWEALTH INSURANCE COMPANY, an alien insurance company,

Defendants.

No. 17-2-12136-3 SEA

ORDER GRANTING PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR PARTIAL SUMMARY JUDGMENT

Before the Court is Plaintiff's Motion for Partial Summary Judgment. The Court has reviewed the following materials submitted by the parties:

- 1. Plaintiff's Motion for Partial Summary Judgment;
- 2. Declaration of Todd C. Hayes in Support of Plaintiff's Motion for Partial Summary Judgment with exhibits thereto;
- 3. Declaration of Dave Gramann in Support of Plaintiff's Motion for Partial Summary Judgment with exhibits thereto;
- 4. Defendants' Opposition to Plaintiff's Motion for Partial Summary Judgment

ORDER GRANTING PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR PARTIAL SUMMARY JUDGMENT

Hollis R. Hill, Judge Courtroom W-965 King County Superior Court 516 3<sup>rd</sup> Avenue Seattle, WA 98104 206-477-3720

16

15

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

17 18

19

20

21

22

23

17

20

21

22 23

> ORDER GRANTING PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR PARTIAL SUMMARY JUDGMENT

- Declaration of Stephania Denton with exhibits thereto; 5.
- Reply in Support of Plaintiff's Motion for Partial Summary Judgment; and 6.
- Declaration of Charles K. Davis in Support of Plaintiff's Motion for Partial Summary 7. Judgment with exhibits thereto,

## AND HEREBY ORDERS that:

Plaintiff's Motion for Partial Summary Judgment is GRANTED. Plaintiff's motion does not seek an advisory opinion. Sunbreaker Association v. Traveler's Ins. Co., 79 Wn. App. 368, 374 (1995).

- Defendants' all-risk policies cover damage from "faulty construction," "faulty 1. maintenance," and "wind-blown rain." The policies refer to these perils without explicitly excluding them while other policies written by defendants explicitly exclude "faulty construction" and "faulty maintenance." Greenlake Condo. v. Allstate, 2015 WL 11988945 (W.D. Wash. 2015).
- The Policies cover damage resulting from the combination of excluded and non-excluded 2. perils. Because none of these policies have "inverse efficient proximate cause" provisions losses resulting from excluded and non-excluded perils may be covered even if the efficient proximate cause of the damage occurred outside the policy period if it set in motion a causal chain that includes covered perils. Vision One et al. v. Philadelphia Indemnity Insurance Co. 174 Wn.2d 501, 521-522 (2011).
- Defendants are jointly and severally liable for all covered damage if some of that damage 3. occurred during Defendants' policy periods Eagle Harbor Condominium Association v Allstate Insurance Co., 2017 WL 1316936 (W.D. WA 2017); and
- Defendants have the burden of proof regarding lack of fortuity. ALCOA v. Aetna Cas. & 4. Sur. Co., 140 Wn2d 517, 556 (2000); port of Longview v. London MKT. Insurers, 197 Wn. App. 1018 (2016).

DATED this 22<sup>nd</sup> day of December, 2017.

HONORABLE HOLLIS R. HILL

Hollis R. Hill, Judge Courtroom W-965 King County Superior Court 516 3rd Avenue Seattle, WA 98104 206-477-3720